



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Shuichi TAKEI

Group Art Unit: 2873

Application No.: 10/777,309

Examiner: T. TRA

Filed: February 13, 2004

Docket No.: 118504

For: ELECTRO-OPTIC DEVICE, METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING THE SAME, AND  
ELECTRONIC APPARATUS

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:  
/s/

In reply to the December 22, 2005 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claim 20 is allowed.

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claim 20.

Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the Office Action rejects claims 1, 3, 4, 10-12 and 18 over Kido (U.S. Patent No. 6,589,673) in view of Lee (U.S. Patent No. 6,902,834); claim 2 over Kido in view of Lee and further view of Nii (U.S. Patent No. 6,555,959); claims 5-8 over Kido in view of Lee; claim 13 over Kido in view of Lee and further in view of Himeshima (U.S. Patent No. 6,633,124); claims 9 and 17 over Kido in view of Lee and further in view of Sato (U.S. Patent No. 6,534,202); claims 14-16 over Kido in view of Lee and further in view of Aziz (U.S. Patent No. 6,811,896); and claim 19 over Kim (U.S. Patent No. 6,614,176) in view of Lee. The rejections are respectfully traversed.

Lee is relied on in each of the rejections. However, Lee is not prior art because Applicant's priority documents pre-date Lee and fully support the claims of the application.